## Synthesis and Solid-State Structure of Perfluorophenyl End-Capped Polyynes

Jamie Kendall, Robert McDonald, Michael J. Ferguson, and Rik R. Tykwinski\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton AB T6G 2G2, Canada rik.tykwinski@ualberta.ca

Received March 13, 2008

## ORGANIC LETTERS 2008 Vol. 10, No. 11 2163–2166

## ABSTRACT



Five new supramolecular building blocks have been synthesized on the basis of polyynes end-capped with pentafluorophenyl groups, including three symmetrical (16, 17, 18) and two unsymmetrical polyynes (7 and 12). The solid-state behavior of these molecules based on the attractive electrostatic interactions of the phenyl and perfluorophenyl groups has been examined by X-ray crystallographic analysis of 7, 12, and 16.25.

In 1960, Patrick and Prosser discovered that the admixture of benzene and hexafluorobenzene resulted in a room-temperature solid that was unique from either of its constituent parts.<sup>1</sup> It has subsequently been confirmed both experimentally and theoretically that benzene and perfluorobenzene have quadrupolar moments that are nearly equal in magnitude, but opposite in sign.<sup>2</sup> The result is a motif that allows one to realize supramolecular structures in which solid-state organization can be engineered based on the electrostatic interactions of phenyl and perfluorobenyl groups.<sup>3</sup>

In terms of the known acetylenic derivatives 1-6,<sup>4</sup> Grubbs and co-workers first established that attractive interactions between phenyl perfluorophenyl groups could also be used to direct the solid-state packing of diynes **3**·4 and **6**.<sup>5</sup> It has been suggested that this packing motif might lead to a productive topochemical polymerization reaction based on interatomic orientations that arise from close packing in molecular crystals derived from **3**·4 or **6**,<sup>6</sup> although this fact remains to be proven. It has also been recently established by Marder and co-workers that the packing for tolan derivatives **1**·2 and **5** is also dominated by the same supramolecular forces found for diyne derivatives **3**·4 and **6**.<sup>4</sup>e Our work with extended polyynes<sup>7</sup> led us to consider why longer polyynes with perfluorophenyl units had not been synthesized and studied. It became clear quite quickly that the electrophilic nature of the perfluorophenyl group would likely make the assembly of such molecules a synthetic challenge.<sup>4e,8</sup> Intrigued by this challenge, we sought to

(6) For a description of such reactions, see: Szafert, S.; Gladysz, J. A. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 4175–4205.

<sup>(1)</sup> Patrick, C. R.; Prosser, G. S. Nature (London) 1960, 187, 1021-1021.

<sup>(2) (</sup>a) Vanspeybrouck, W.; Herrebout, W. A.; van der Veken, B. J.; Lundell, J.; Perutz, R. N. J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 13855–13861. (b) Pérez-Casas, S.; Hernández-Trujillo, J.; Costas, M. J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 4167–4174. (c) Williams, J. H. Acc. Chem. Res. 1993, 26, 593–598.
(3) For reviews, see: (a) Hunter, C. A.; Lawson, K. R.; Perkins, J.; Urch, C. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 2001, 651–669. (b) Dahl, T. Acta Chem. Scand. 1988, 42, 1–7.

<sup>(4)</sup> For examples based on acetylenic derivatives, see: (a) Shu, L.; Mu,
Z.; Fuchs, H.; Chi, L.; Mayor, M. Chem. Commun. 2006, 1862–1863. (b)
Collings, J. C.; Burke, J. M.; Smith, P. S.; Batsanov, A. S.; Howard, J. A. K.;
Marder, T. B. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2004, 2, 3172–3178. (c) Shu, L.; Mayor,
M. Chem. Commun. 2006, 4134–4136. (d) Taylor, T. J.; Gabbai, F. P.
Organometallics 2006, 25, 2143–2147. (e) Smith, C. E.; Smith, P. S.;
Thomas, R. L.; Robins, E. G.; Collings, J. C.; Dai, C.; Scott, A. J.; Borwick,
S.; Batsanov, A. S.; Watt, S. W.; Clark, S. J.; Viney, C.; Howard, J. A. K.;
Clegg, W.; Marder, T. B. J. Mater. Chem. 2004, 14, 413–420. (f) Watt,
S. W.; Dai, C.; Scott, A. J.; Burke, J. M.; Thomas, R. L.; Collings, J. C.;
Viney, C.; Clegg, W.; Marder, T. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3061–3063. (g) Gdaniec, M.; Jankowski, W.; Milewska, M. J.; Polonski, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 3903–3906. (h) Xu, R.; Gramlich, V.; Frauenrath,
H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 5541–5547.

<sup>(5)</sup> Coates, G. W.; Dunn, A. R.; Henling, L. M.; Dougherty, D. A.; Grubbs, R. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1997**, *36*, 248–251.



Figure 1. Known decafluoro- and pentafluorodiphenyl mono- and diynes.

construct perfluorophenyl polyynes and to determine if the solid-state behavior that had been observed for mono- and diynes 1-6 would extend to longer derivatives. We report herein the results of our efforts.

The synthesis of pentafluorodiphenyltriyne **7** (Scheme 1) proved to be more challenging than expected. As in previous



triyne synthesis,<sup>7</sup> a carbenoid Fritsch–Buttenberg–Wiechell (FBW) rearrangement<sup>9</sup> with dibromoolefin **8** was planned to form the polyyne core. Thus, the acylation reaction<sup>10</sup> of trimethylsilylalkyne  $9^{8,11}$  with excess acid chloride **10** readily gave ketone **11** in good yield. All attempts toward forming **8** under typical dibromoolefination conditions were, however,

(8) Zhang, Y.; Wen, J. Synthesis 1990, 727-728.



frustratingly unsuccessful and resulted only in the formation of baseline material. Nevertheless, with ketone **11** in hand, the reaction with lithium trimethylsilyldiazomethane was attempted.<sup>12</sup> Satisfyingly, this provided for the desired carbenoid intermediate and subsequent rearrangement to form triyne **7**. To our knowledge, this is the first reported example of polyyne formation using Colvin's reagent.<sup>12</sup>

As encountered in efforts toward triyne **7**, attempts to form the unsymmetrical tetrayne **12** via a FBW rearrangement from a dibromoolefinic precursor were not successful, even using methods successful for the formation of other tetraynes.<sup>7</sup> Thus, an alternative approach was sought. The formation of diyne **13** was readily developed from pentafluorobenzoyl chloride.<sup>13</sup> Desilylation with tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) at 0 °C gave the deprotected diyne **14**, and reaction with bromodiyne **15** (excess) under Cadiot– Chodkiewicz conditions<sup>14</sup> gave **12** in good yield.

Diyne 13 also, obviously, provided the basis for synthesis of symmetrical tetrayne 16 (eq 1). Thus, desilylation with TBAF at low temperature gave the terminal diyne, which was immediately subjected to Hay oxidative homocoupling.<sup>15</sup> The desired tetrayne 16 was isolated as a stable yellow solid in 50% yield over the two steps.



The synthesis of hexayne **17** and octayne **18** (Scheme 3) began from **9** and followed similar paths. A Friedel–Crafts

<sup>(7)</sup> For recent examples of polyyne formation using the FBW rearrangement, see: (a) Chalifoux, W. A.; Tykwinski, R. R. *Chem. Rec.* 2006, 6, 169–182. (b) Luu, T.; Elliott, E.; Slepkov, A. D.; Eisler, S.; McDonald, R.; Hegmann, F. A.; Tykwinski, R. R. *Org. Lett.* 2005, 7, 51–54. (c) Eisler, S.; Slepkov, A. D.; Elliott, E.; Luu, T.; McDonald, R.; Hegmann, F. A.; Tywkinski, R. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, *127*, 2666–2676. (d) Eisler, S.; Tykwinski, R. R. In *Acetylene Chemistry: Chemistry, Biology, and Material Science*; Diederich, F.; Stang, P. J.; Tykwinski, R. R., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2005. (e) Eisler, S.; Chahal, N.; McDonald, R.; Tykwinski, R. R. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2003, *9*, 2542–2550.

<sup>(9) (</sup>a) Fritsch, P. Liebigs Ann. Chem. **1894**, 279, 319–323. (b) Buttenberg, W. P. Liebigs Ann. Chem. **1894**, 279, 324–337. (c) Wiechell, H. Liebigs Ann. Chem. **1894**, 279, 337–344. (d) For a recent review, see: Knorr, R. Chem. Rev. **2004**, 104, 3795–3849.

<sup>(10)</sup> Walton, D. R. M.; Waugh, F. J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 37, 45-56.

<sup>(11)</sup> Chen, Q.-Y.; Li, Z.-T. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1992, 2931–2934.

<sup>(12)</sup> Colvin, E. W.; Hamill, B. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1977, 869–874.

<sup>(13)</sup> See Supporting Information for details.

<sup>(14)</sup> Cadiot, P.; Chodkiewicz, W. In Chemistry of Acetylenes; Viehe, H. G., Ed.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1969; pp 597–647. For recent examples of polyyne formation using the Cadiot–Chodkiewicz method, see: Lee, S.; Lee, T.; Lee, Y. M.; Kim, D.; Kim, S. Angew Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 8422–8425. (c) Sabitha, G.; Reddy, C. S.; Yadav, J. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 4513–4516. (d) Gung, B. W.; Kumi, G. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 5956–5960.

<sup>(15)</sup> Hay, A. S. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 3320–3321. For recent examples of polyyne formation using the Hay and similar methods, see: de Quadras, L.; Bauer, E. B.; Mohr, W.; Bohling, J. C.; Peters, T. B.; Martín-Alvarez, J. M.; Hampel, F.; Gladysz, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 8296–8309. Simpkins, S. M. E.; Weller, M. D.; Cox, L. R. Chem. Commun. 2007, 4035–4037. Zheng, Q.; Bohling, J. C.; Peters, T. B.; Frisch, A. C.; Hampel, F.; Gladysz, J. A. Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 6486–6505. Gibtner, T.; Hampel, F.; Gisselbrecht, J.-P.; Hirsch, A. Chem. Eur. J. 2002, 8, 408–432.



acylation with **19** gave the corresponding ynone (not shown), which was purified and then readily converted to dibromoolefin **20**.<sup>16</sup> The analogous reaction sequence of acylation and dibromoolefination starting with TIPS-protected **21** ultimately gave **22**, albeit in low yield over the two steps (using the TBDMS analogue of **21** failed to give product). FBW rearrangement of **20** and **22** then gave the triyne **23** and tetrayne **24**, respectively, in decent yields. Finally, desilylation and oxidative homocoupling under Hay conditions gave the desired polyynes **17** and **18**.

The characterization of extended polyynes 7, 12, and 16-18 by <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy is particularly diagnostic, showing second order multiplets for the ortho and meta fluorine atoms at ca. -139 and -162 ppm (due to coupling with other magnetic nonequivalent F-atoms of the aryl ring). The signal of the para fluorine is typically observed as a triplet of triplets, centered at -153 ppm. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopic characterization of polyynes 7 and 12, as well as many of their precursors, is complicated by an inability to effect both <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>19</sup>F decoupling in a single NMR experiment. Nevertheless, all spectra and observed coupling patters are consistent with the proposed structures.<sup>13</sup> The MALDI MS analysis of octayne 18 is noteworthy. In addition to the signal observed at m/z 526 for M<sup>+</sup>, additional signals were found for the dimer  $(2M^+ = 1052)$ , trimer  $(3M^+ =$ 1578), tetramer ( $4M^+ = 2104$ ), and pentamer ( $5M^+ = 2630$ ), showing aggregation or chemical cross-linking during the analysis.<sup>13</sup>

The observed melting points for phenyl and perfluorophenyl polyynes are summarized in Table 1, in comparison to several known molecules. In general, the decafluoro (Ph<sub>F</sub>-(CC)<sub>*n*</sub>-Ph<sub>F</sub>) and pentafluoro (Ph-(CC)<sub>*n*</sub>-Ph<sub>F</sub>) polyynes show higher melting points than the analogous diphenyl polyynes. Likewise, for the two known systems, n = 2 and n = 4, the 1:1 cocrystals of Ph<sub>F</sub>-(CC)<sub>*n*</sub>-Ph<sub>F</sub> and Ph-(CC)<sub>*n*</sub>-Ph are higher melting solids than the corresponding Ph-(CC)<sub>*n*</sub>-Ph<sub>F</sub> ana-

n	Ph-(CC) <sub>n</sub> -Ph	Ph <sub>F</sub> -(CC) <sub>n</sub> -Ph <sub>F</sub>	$Ph-(CC)_n-Ph + Ph_F-(CC)_n-Ph_F$	Ph-(CC) <sub>n</sub> -Ph <sub>F</sub>
1	$58.5 - 59^{17}$	$123 - 123.5^{18}$	a	$104 - 105^{4e}$
<b>2</b>	$87^{5}$	$114^{5}$	$152^{5}$	$124^{5}$
3	$91 - 92^{17}$	n.a. <sup>b</sup>	n.a.	154 - 155
4	$109 - 110^{19}$	$174 - 176^{c}$	133 - 134	125 - 126
6	$133^{c,d}$	$133 - 134^{c}$	n.a.	n.a.
8	$85^{c,d}$	99 <sup>c</sup>	n.a.	n.a.

<sup>*a*</sup> The 1:1 cocrystal has been reported, but no melting point was provided, see ref 4e. <sup>*b*</sup> n.a.: not available. <sup>*c*</sup> Decomposition point. <sup>*d*</sup> Determined by differential scanning calorimetry. <sup>7b</sup>

logues, even though the number of favorable phenylperfluorophenyl interactions is essentially identical in each case.

Crystals of triyne **7** were grown from a solution of CHCl<sub>3</sub> at room temperature (Figure 2).<sup>20</sup> The individual molecules



Figure 2. Solid-state orientation of 7 for four neighboring molecules; center-to-center distances d (20% probability level).

are essentially planar, with a dihedral angle between planes formed of terminal aryl rings of only  $4.61(7)^{\circ}$ . The trivne segment is nearly linear, with acetylenic bond angles that range from 178.8(2) to 179.8(3)°. Neighboring molecules stack to form centrosymmetric, dimeric pairs, separated by 3.46 Å.<sup>21</sup> The stacking of neighboring pairs is slightly offset, although still separated by 3.47 Å. The center-to-center distances of d = 3.68 and d = 3.71 Å are analogous to those

<sup>(16) (</sup>a) Ramirez, F.; Desai, N. B.; McKelvie, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1962**, 84, 1745–1747. (b) Corey, E. J.; Fuchs, P. L. Tetrahedron Lett. **1972**, 3769–3772.

<sup>(17)</sup> Rubin, Y.; Lin, S. S.; Knobler, C. B.; Anthony, J.; Boldi, A. M.; Diederich, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1991**, 113, 6943–6949.

<sup>(18)</sup> Birchall, J. M.; Bowden, F. L.; Haszeldine, R. N.; Lever, A. B. P. J. Chem. Soc. A **1967**, 747–753.

<sup>(19)</sup> Armitage, J. B.; Entwistle, N.; Jones, E. R. H.; Whiting, M. C. J. Chem. Soc. 1954, 147–154.

<sup>(20)</sup> X-ray crystallographic data for **7**: C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>5</sub>F<sub>5</sub>, M = 316.22, triclinic space group  $P\overline{1}$  (No. 2); a = 7.3722(6) Å, b = 7.9609(10) Å, c = 13.2604 (12) Å;  $\alpha = 101.447(9)^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 91.357(7)^{\circ}$ ,  $\gamma = 114.541(7)^{\circ}$ ; V = 688.93(12) Å<sup>3</sup>; Z = 2;  $\rho_{calcd} = 1.524$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu = 0.136$  mm<sup>-1</sup>; T = -80 °C. Final  $R_1 = 0.0453$  (1881 observations  $[F_o^2 \ge 2\sigma(F_o^2)]$ );  $wR_2 = 0.1266$  for 209 variables and 2838 data with  $[F_o^2 \ge -3\sigma(F_o^2)]$ ; CCDC 677133.

found for 6. Thus, the packing of 7 is very similar to the motif observed for the corresponding mono- and diyne analogues  $5^{4e}$  and  $6^{5}$ .

Crystals of the next highest homologue of this series, tetrayne **12**, were grown from a mixture of  $CCl_4$  and cyclohexane at room temperature (Figure 3).<sup>22</sup> The conju-



Figure 3. Solid-state orientation of 12 for four neighboring molecules; center-to-center distances d (20% probability level).

gated framework of **12** is nearly planar, with a dihedral angle between planes formed of terminal aryl rings of  $4.67(12)^\circ$ . The polyyne segment is basically linear, with acetylenic bond angles that range from 177.8(4) to 179.4(3)°. Two nearest neighbor molecules form a centrosymmetric dimeric pair separated by an interplanar distance of 3.46 Å, and successive pairs are stacked at the same distance of (3.47 Å). The centerto-center separation for the tetraynes is d = 3.67 and d =3.73 Å, again comparable to **6** and **7**. Thus, the solid-state packing for polyynes **7** and **12**, in comparison to **5** and **6**, shows that polyyne length has a negligible effect on the supramolecular organization of these molecules in the solid state.

Cocrystals of **16** and diphenyl-1,3,5,7-octatetrayne  $(25)^{7b,19,23}$  were grown from a 1:1 mixture of the two compounds in MeOH at room temperature (Figure 4).<sup>24</sup> Both



Figure 4. Solid-state orientation of 16.25 for four neighboring molecules; center-to-center distances d (20% probability level).

**16** and **25** are nearly linear, with all acetylenic bond angles between 177.5(2) and 179.6(3)°. The alternate stacking of **16·25** mirrors that found in 1:1 mixtures of both **1·2**<sup>4e</sup> and **3·4**,<sup>5</sup> and the center-to-center distances for the tetraynes of d = 3.68 Å is nearly identical to those observed for **3·4** (d = 3.69 Å).<sup>5</sup> Thus, the length of the acetylenic linker appears to play little role in the packing found in these cocrystalline pairs **1·2**, **3·4**, and **16·25**.

In conclusion, five new perfluoroaryl polyynes have been synthesized and the solid-state packing examined by X-ray crystallography for three examples. These crystallographic analyses show that the supramolecular packing motif documented previously for the shorter mono- and diacetylenic derivatives is maintained almost identically in the longer analogues (7, 12, and 16.25) studied here.

Acknowledgment. This work has been generously supported by the University of Alberta and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) through the Discovery Grant program.

**Supporting Information Available:** Experimental procedures, spectroscopic data, and copies of NMR spectra for new compounds. Copies of mass spectra for polyynes **7**, **12**, and **16–18**. CIF file for crystallographic structures. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

<sup>(21)</sup> Intermolecular distances are based on the separation of planes generated from all non-hydrogen atoms of neighboring molecules using Mercury 1.3; see the Supporting Information for more details.

<sup>(22)</sup> X-ray crystallographic data for **12**: C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>5</sub>F<sub>5</sub>, M = 340.24; triclinic space group  $P\bar{1}$  (No. 2);  $\rho_c = 1.470$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>; a = 7.3896(12) Å, b = 7.9448(13) Å, c = 14.452(2) Å;  $\alpha = 91.906(3)^\circ$ ,  $\beta = 94.375(3)^\circ$ ,  $\gamma = 114.390(2)^\circ$ ; V = 768.5(2) Å<sup>3</sup>; Z = 2;  $\mu = 0.128$  mm<sup>-1</sup>. Final R(F) = 0.0710 (1522 observations  $[F_0^- \ge 2\sigma(F_0^-2)]$ ;  $wR_2 = 0.2125$  for 226 variables and 2702 data with  $[F_0^- \ge -3\sigma(F_0^-2)]$ ; CCDC 677134.

<sup>(23)</sup> Haley, M. M.; Bell, M. L.; Brand, S. C.; Kimball, D. B.; Pak, J. J.; Wan, W. B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 7483–7486.

OL800583R

<sup>(24)</sup> X-ray crystallographic data for **16·25**:  $C_{40}H_{10}F_{10}$ , M = 680.48; triclinic space group P1 (No. 2);  $\rho_c = 1.483$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>; a = 7.3625(10) Å, b = 7.8987(11) Å, c = 14.684(2) Å;  $\alpha = 82.017(2)^\circ$ ,  $\beta = 80.119(2)^\circ$ ,  $\gamma = 65.267(2)^\circ$ ; V = 761.97(18) Å<sup>3</sup>; Z = 1;  $\mu = 0.129$  mm<sup>-1</sup>. Final R(F) = 0.0413 (1910 observations  $[F_o^2 \ge 2\sigma(F_o^2)]$ ;  $wR_2 = 0.1386$  for 226 variables and 2969 data with  $[F_o^2 \ge -3\sigma(F_o^2)]$ ; CCDC 677135.